



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,571	02/25/2002	Edwin H. Adams	ADAE-002	8652
5	7590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
Howard N. F	laxman	HOTALING, JOHN M		
Suite 112 2341 Jefferson	Davis Hwy.	ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
Arlington, VA		3713 DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/080		ADAMS, EDWIN H.				
		Examir	ier	Art Unit				
			Hotaling II	3713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio or Reply	n appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days uperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no on. , a reply within the speriod will apply and statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be timestatutory minimum of thirty (30) day if will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 May 2002						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	L. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (I) (O)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachmen			_					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/080,571

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Rudow et al US Patent Application Publication 2002/0016674.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rudow et al US Patent Application Publication 2002/0016674 in view of Fisher US Patent 5,507,485. Rudow discloses all of the instant application with respect to a personal digital assistant (PDA) (hand held unit paragraphs 18, 19, 60, 380, 414) with the exception of the cradle. Instead Rudow discloses a plurality of communication means that may be used to transfer information to a hand held unit, including wired and

Application/Control Number: 10/080,571

Art Unit: 3713

Page 3

wireless. In an analogous golf computer a display system to Fisher there is disclosed a IC card for uploading into a personal digital assistant the specifics of a golf course (see figures 1-3 and the relevant passages in the specification). The examiner contends that the cradle is used for the transfer of information and since a means is disclosed in both the Rudow and Fisher references to transfer information the specific cradle could be the IC card or any well known wired or wireless cradle used for the transfer of information such as that used by the Palm Pilot or the like. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine the reference using the knowledge of the art that many differing types of information transfer devices may be used. It would be obvious to combine the PDA of Rudow with the PDA of Fisher and the knowledge of the use of cradles in order to transfer information between digital appliances.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Born et al '697 discloses a golf scoring computer system

Rudow et al '369 discloses a course yardage and information system

Lobsenz '109 discloses a golf scoring system

Morse '359 discloses a golf game management system

Cline '835 discloes a PDA for use with a golf game

Page 4

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Hotaling II whose telephone number is 703 305 0780. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7777.

JOHN M. HOTALING, III
PRIMARY EXAMINER

January **2**/1, 2004